

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

CURTIS MARK GUTHRIE

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:22-cv-00128-TBM-BWR

LISA DODSON, *et al.*

DEFENDANTS

FINAL JUDGMENT


This cause is before the Court *sua sponte* for consideration of dismissal. Pursuant to the Memorandum and Order of Dismissal issued this date and incorporated herein by reference,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this cause is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous and for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). This dismissal is effective until the conditions outlined in *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87, 114 S. Ct. 2364, 129 L.Ed.2d 383 (1994), are met.

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this civil action is separately **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** against each Defendant for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this dismissal counts as a “strike” under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff Curtis Mark Guthrie is advised that, if he receives three strikes, “he may not proceed [*in forma pauperis*] in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in any facility unless he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.” *Adongo v. Tex.*, 124 F. App’x 230, 232 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)).

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this 10th day of November, 2022.



TAYLOR B. McNEEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE